# **Office of Undergraduate Research Travel Award Review**

# Travel #: \_\_\_\_\_ Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_

## Capability of the candidate and support from mentor (60%)

Overall GPA (20%)

- \_ 20 GPA (4.0-3.75)
- 10 GPA (3.74-3.5)
- $\Box$  5 GPA (3.49-3.0)
  - 0 Low major GPA (2.9 and below)
  - 0 No GPA reported

### Evaluation of the Project/Creative Activity (40%)

- 40 Project abstract written clearly and conveys full scope of project and its scholarly significance
- 20 Project abstract somewhat clear but lacks detail and breath
- 0 Project abstract unclear and poorly written

### **Prominence of event (20%)**

20 Major national or international professional research conference or performance that draws audience of established scholars in the field. (Ex. American Psychological Association, American Chemical Society, American Society of Microbiologist, Modern Languages Association, American Historical Society. American Library Association)

15 National Undergraduate research conference national (Ex. Council on Undergraduate Research, National Collegiate Honors Council); less prominent, national performance venue

10 Regional professional research conference drawing scholars, graduate and undergraduate students from a limited geographic region; regional performance venue

5 Undergraduate research conference regional (Ex. Florida Statewide Student Research Symposium, Southeast Regional Honors Council); state performance venue

### Budget Detail (20%)

20 Budget items clearly enumerated with sufficient detail (cost of flights and hotel estimates based on reputable travel sites- Ex. Expedia, Delta, Holiday Inn). All mileage and incidentals clearly accounted for.

- 10 Budget provides a general picture of needs but lacks detail.
- 0 Budget has no documentation and cost estimates appear arbitrary.

Overall, would you recommend OUR to fund this research travel?

- 4 Yes, fund this travel
- 2 Yes, with hesitations
  - 0 No, do not fund